

# **CLOCKS**

# **ON A TRAIN**

## **Relativity – The Pamphlet**

by Chongo

A Simple Experiment Showing How Einstein's Theory of Relativity Disproves the Big Bang as 'Creation'

**The content of this pamphlet is the description of a single relativity experiment demonstrating that both past and future are as physically existent as the present moment is. Although the content amounts to a total of only about an hour's reading, it is nonetheless recommended that the reader limit their reading to only a single section per day.**

**Five sections make this a weeklong learning experience, at less than fifteen minutes per day. The final section is even optional. In this way, the reader has adequate time to contemplate each step of the description and thus more easily grasp in an accurate way the new idea that is being explained in each new section. A hard copy, staple bound version of this pamphlet can be purchased at or is otherwise available for open and free, anonymous, on-line viewing at:**

**[www.chongonation.org](http://www.chongonation.org)**

**...where this book is free, and so are many others.**

**CLOCKS**  
**ON A TRAIN**

**Relativity – The Pamphlet**

**~Spring 2017 Edition~**

by Chongo

**[www.chongonation.org](http://www.chongonation.org)**

as of

**Monday, March 13, 2017**

**5:55 AM**

# **Ignorance and liberty are mutually exclusive.**

This work is dedicated to Giordano Bruno, among the greatest of men, murdered by the church for wanting to share science.

They may still murder great men, but they can't burn all the books anymore. Giordano's dream has now finally come true.

# **CLOCKS ON A TRAIN**

## **Relativity – The Pamphlet**

by Chongo

February 2017

3/13/17 5:55 AM

© Copyright 2017, Charles Victor Tucker III (Chongo)

**All rights reserved.**

No text whatsoever, in whole or in part, may be copied or reproduced.

**[www.chongonation.org](http://www.chongonation.org)**

**The content of this pamphlet is the description of a single relativity experiment demonstrating that both past and future are as physically existent as the present moment is. Although the content amounts to a total of only about an hour's reading, it is nonetheless recommended that the reader limit their reading to only a single section per day.**

**Five sections make this a weeklong learning experience, at less than fifteen minutes per day. The final section is even optional. In this way, the reader has adequate time to contemplate each step of the description and thus more easily grasp in an accurate way the new idea that is being explained in each new section. A hard copy, staple bound version of this pamphlet can be purchased at or is otherwise available for open and free, anonymous, on-line viewing at:**

**[www.chongonation.org](http://www.chongonation.org)**

**...where this book is free, along with many others, equally enriching.**

# **NOTE TO TRANSLATORS**

**The author and copyright holder of the electronic content of this work provides it absolutely free to absolutely anyone in the world for private, non-commercial use, in any language. To this end, the author encourages its free distribution and invites its translation and distribution in any language, provided that the translated content is consistent with all of the following:**

- 1.) All copyright notices must be retained in both English and the translated language in their full form and their full content uncensored, with any and all meaning accurately represented in any and all such translations.**
- 2.) Any and all mentions of [www.chongonation.org](http://www.chongonation.org) must be retained.**
- 3.) Any translation must retain the full, uncensored, and unedited content of all of the text and all of this content's meaning must be accurately and thoroughly represented everywhere throughout the translation. (Rare exceptions can be made for the sake of special, exceptional circumstances – the author understands that the world is complex.)**

**Any translation satisfying the above conditions will be eligible for posting on the chongonation.org site as well as a link added to the chongonation.org site to any other site dedicated to the same general purpose and goals as chongonation.org, that is, educating the world in pure epistemological fact, instead of the wishful fantasies that haunt the faith-based traditions that sadly, always prevail throughout the world of belief, everywhere, coloring it with an vicious fear of science and an obsessive avoidance toward learning simple physical fact, to the disadvantage of all, but especially to that of their children.**

**(Please note that although the author is wholly fluent in Spanish, as many know, he nonetheless invites any Spanish translation that would spare him the time and labor necessary so that he not have to do it himself.)**

# **CLOCKS ON A TRAIN**

## **Relativity – The Pamphlet**

# CONTENT

### Part One, MOTION AND INTUITION

Page 1

### Part Two, THE THEORY OF ABSOLUTE AND UNIVERSAL, SPACE AND TIME MEASURES

Page 5

### Part Three, CLOCKS ON A TRAIN

Page 9

### Part Four, HOW CLOCKS ON A MOVING TRAIN DEMONSTRATE THAT WHAT TIME IT IS WHERE, IS RELATIVE TO MOTION

Page 13

### Part Five (Optional), HOW CLOCKS ON A MOVING TRAIN DEMONSTRATE THAT SPATIAL DISTANCES, LIKE WHAT TIME IT IS, ARE RELATIVE TO MOTION TOO

Page 18

**[www.chongonation.org](http://www.chongonation.org)**



## Part One

# MOTION AND INTUITION

Look around, what do you see? You see 'things', occupying space, and with its passage, occupying time. Reality seems to be made of things occupying space, and in the process, occupying time. An additional feature of our immediate vicinity is that, regardless of wherever we may find ourselves, all these things that constitute reality, including ourselves and notwithstanding a couple of rare exceptions, seem always to be being "pulled" downward, relentlessly. Wherever we find ourselves, gravity seems as relentless and inescapable as time is. As is readily obvious, gravity 'is' the force that is constantly pulling things down-ward, given something "else," namely, time providing the liberty for things to change their location or orientation as a result of this force, or of any other. With time, comes the capacity for things to change their position in space. That is, for them to move; what we call, motion: things changing their location or orientation as a result of them being, either pulled, pushed, or turned. We *understand* motion, intuitively (more accurately, we intuitively *believe* that we intuitively understand motion), owing that it is our very intuition itself that decides that we do. We *know* with certainty that we understand motion, because, how could we not?

There is yet another almost universal feature of motion that we might overlook by living in such a modern world where if, for no other reason, common, widespread understanding of the motion of planets around the sun would perhaps unknowingly mislead us to ignore this obvious feature, even though it is almost universal to all of our notions of movement. That obvious, universal feature of motion is that wherever we observe movement, it is universally, of something moving, with respect to something else, like all of the local surroundings, that is not. That is,

motion seems always to occur against a backdrop of everything else that is not moving, but is instead, *stationary*. If we did not know that Earth itself is constantly moving, as humans once did not, throughout most of their history, then all motion it would seem, is with certainty, innately modeled with respect to a stationary perspective. In other words, things always, unambiguously, either change position, or do not change position, that is, either they are in a state of motion, or they are stationary. According to this innate, intuitive perspective, the distinction between what is moving and what is not moving is absolute and universal. According to this perspective, absolutely nothing whatsoever can be both in a state of motion, and stationary, together, in the same moment. Moving while being stationary is unimaginable, as it contradicts the deepest, most fundamental foundations of our very reason.

This is the intuitive way that we see motion, that is, that of things moving amidst a stationary world that, itself, does *not* seem to be moving. It is clearly how we humans evolved to envision motion, something changing position amid all that does not. This is for the very simple reason that throughout the course of nearly all of human history, this simple picture has served human needs so effectively that it has brought humankind to the point of being able to question the validity of its very intuition itself. It is in this exact way that the great thinker, Albert Einstein, discovered relativity. He assumed something much more certain than common, human intuition, because he knew something about nature that humans had never known before that time, or had been capable of knowing or of even understanding, an incredibly important detail about the world that is wholly unimportant to common intuition: that detail being that *the speed of light never changes*; and because it never does, our intuition's conclusion that moving and stationary are mutually exclusive states *is a*

*completely incorrect one.* Not only can anything be both moving and stationary, together, *everything that is anything cannot avoid doing both things together, ever.*

*We, and everything else existent, are always in motion while being stationary,* that is, unless we, or whatever else, are being pushed or pulled (and even then, the effects of ANY pushing or pulling aside from that occurring inside of particle accelerators can be wholly ignored). The rotation and orbit of the earth with which we are always in motion, while being absolutely stationary, prove this. To be perfectly clear, *unless we are being pushed or pulled* (acceleration or gravity, which again, we can ignore), *we are always doing both together, moving, and standing still, as it is physically impossible not to be!* But again, this is *not* how our intuition ordinarily works. It **MUST** assume that one is not the other, meaning that it *must* assume that moving things are not, nor can they ever be, stationary, according to it. If our intuition did not work as it does, by means of the distinctions (meanings) that it makes, like, for example, stationary being distinct from moving, then our ability to interact with our local world would most likely not be as effective as it is, either, and we would not be here in the first place. But, our world has grown colossally. Our intuitive picture of the world includes more: the whole universe now.

Humanity's picture of reality has expanded vastly, to encompass magnitudes more than the flat extent of local terrain that ordinarily surrounds us in every lateral direction and upon which we map our world. It is now common knowledge that earth is a planet itself, moving, by rotating, and by orbiting around a star called the sun, along with a variety of other planets that are doing the identically same thing. The 'world' includes more, far, far more, than what we evolved to contemplate. Yet we can hardly escape being forced to do so, anyway. So our mind constructs

a mental picture of all this celestial motion. We represent it by the image of a set of smaller spherical bodies, orbiting a larger one, this collection of smaller systems, all orbiting, around the largest, central stellar body. This collection is formalized by the scientific term, solar system. The solar system, in turn, spins within the motion of the rotating wheel of the entire Milky Way Galaxy. We imagine the motion of this set of 'floating' bodies in our mind, in the same way that we imagine any other kind of "weightless" motion here on the surface of Earth. Naturally, this mental image makes perfect sense; because it is our very reason itself that creates it, along with the firm belief in the certainty of reason's validity, owing to the fact that it is our very reason itself that determines its own validity, *and always with great bias*. But, this intuitive mental image of motion has a failing flaw: *it persists with the idea of this collective motion happening upon an unmoving stage, YET NO SUCH STAGE PHYSICALLY EXISTS! Hence the model FAILS. It is but one, among a multitude of many, many other intuitive simplicities, that we wholeheartedly believe (that is to say, that our reason presumes, with unquestioning certainty, are absolutely true), **yet are all utterly and completely wrong too!***

Now we must know far more in order to understand our world and to operate even better in it, because the future of humankind and of human liberty flatly depend upon accurate understanding of nature being widespread, instead of how it is now. Widespread accurate understanding of nature is achievable only when recognition of inaccurate understanding of nature, along with the many fallacies of our intuition, become open, common knowledge instead. Thus, anyone who does not understand relativity, yet *genuinely* cares about the future, will decide to make the effort that it takes in order to learn relativity.

**Part Two**

# **THE THEORY OF ABSOLUTE AND UNIVERSAL, SPACE AND TIME MEASURES**

Space seems absolute and universal, everywhere always, at any distance, anywhere. That is, no measurement of any distance ever seems to change or distort, under any circumstance. Regardless of the units that are used to measure the distance, once this number of units is determined, it does not seem to ever change, regardless of anything, and presumably, for the sake of the present explanation, irrespective of any motion whatsoever involved. Likewise, so seem any measurements involving a combination of distances, such as those for surface areas or spatial volumes, for example. And, correspondingly, the angles that are established by these distances seem never to change or distort, either, because no distances affecting these angles ever do.

In stating that measures of distances and the angles that these distances establish seem absolute, what is really being stated is that space itself seems absolute. And, just as seems the case for distances and angles, temporal intervals, that is to say, time, seems also to pass at the same seemingly absolute rate, always, everywhere, universally, under any circumstance whatsoever, regardless altogether of anything. No clock ever runs faster or slower, under any circumstances, ever, does it not? Any accurate clock seems to run at the same rate as any other, regardless of anything or any motion; a minute is a minute anywhere, always, under

any circumstance. Time's passage is obviously absolute. Is all this not true, again, always?

Another seemingly obvious fact is that although each clearly seems universal and absolute, time and space clearly seem also wholly "unlike," meaning that each is physically distinct from the other. Given the liberty to move, space can be navigated at will, locally, in any direction, while time cannot, in any way. Time has only a single direction alone: forward, into the future. Time's march is utterly unstoppable and moreover, absolutely inescapable. This means that in time's endless march, we simply cannot help but be continually removed from the past: it *always* lies 'behind' our present moment; and, in like fashion, continually removed from the future: it *always* lies *ahead* of the present moment. Both past and future lie beyond our reach, with the present moment confining the temporal extent of our existence to a perpetual progression of fleeting present moments that, in series, constitute our lives. Reality is accessible in no way other than our immediate moment-by-moment recollections and contemplations of it (even with respect to our recording of events).

All this is clearly obvious to anyone, and an indisputable certainty about the fundamental nature of reality, is it not? Furthermore, what is true for us here now must be true, at any time, anywhere, must it not? Naturally, it seems obvious that the past and future that correspond to the earth correspond also to the moon and sun, just as this seemingly absolute distinction applies identically to the planets of the solar system, and likewise to the stars in the night sky. Extending this idea further, we realize that this seems true for the entire universe, at any distance, no matter how far. That is to say, that it must be the same time *everywhere*, because what exactly would it mean for it not to be? How? It *not* being the same time everywhere seems unimaginable. Not knowing, we might

mistakenly think that so imagining lies outside of our intellectual reach, without realizing that *we would be wholly incorrect in thinking so*. Such a view would be mistaken, because, in fact, it flatly is not in the least, beyond the reach of anyone capable of reading the content, and imagining a moving train, with three clocks on board. With these, one can accurately ‘imagine’ relativity (its local effects upon time and space measures), albeit in vague terms, in nonetheless completely accurate ones.

This mistaken image of universal absoluteness (along with any presumed limits upon our ability to imagine more) is best expressed as “the theory of absolute space and absolute time (measures).” It is a model that we surely cannot even remember acquiring, much less how, or why, yet embrace for lack of any viable alternative. It is a model that confines physical existence to a solitary moment in space called “the present,” with the past being always gone forever and hence perpetually non-existent, while the future lies always ahead, just as non-existent as the past. It thus gives meaning to time having begun, implying a ‘before’ and ‘after’ with respect to time’s ‘initiation,’ *while time (again, by virtue of presuming before and after initiation) was nonetheless somehow passing* even though it had yet to exist. By overlooking this critical failure or by lacking an alternative concept to replace it, one is left only with a model based upon a *gross fundamental misunderstanding* regarding the way that nature works, and most significantly, an extremely limited and *gross fundamental misunderstanding about time and space measures: that they are absolutely unaffected by motion or gravity*. And, unless we learn differently, it is a model that we retain for our entire lives, mistakenly believing that it accurately embodies the way that nature really works. This absolute and universal model is singular, simplistic, and intuitive. But again, *in actual, physical fact*, it is **completely erroneous**.

Grasping the fundamental idea underlying relativity's remarkable conclusions can correct such misunderstanding, by replacing it with an understanding that corresponds perfectly to how nature, in actual, physical fact, truly *does* work; one *not* based upon common consensus and/or intuitive emotion. The remainder of this short text stands wholly dedicated to bringing the reader who is willing enough to take the time and make the brief intellectual effort necessary for taking the first step toward gaining this stunning understanding that grasping relativity is, to a breathtaking vista that allows viewing what will be its outcome, after it is achieved, and demonstrate just how easily that understanding can be acquired *by anyone*; especially anyone who has taken the initiative to read to the end of this opening, as the current reader must now have done to be reading these lines. Again, **anyone who can read can learn what space and time being relative actually means**, and even more importantly, **learn exactly why relativity is, indeed learn why relativity logically MUST be, a physically true fact.**



**Part Three****CLOCKS ON A TRAIN**

Imagine a train, a very short train, a train consisting of only a single rail car, with a separate caboose behind it, and an engine that pulls this short, one car long train along the rails. On each of the three different vehicles, there sits a single clock: one on the engine, one on the rail car, and one on the caboose. Each is set to exactly the same time, and with absolutely the greatest precision achievable, physically. Additionally, each of the three clocks keeps absolutely perfect time. So, we set them to be synchronous, so that each displays the identical time as either other. These clocks are set so exactly that we can readily and positively identify what time it is on each with enormous precision and thereby unambiguously identify any difference whatsoever, again, regardless altogether of how slight that difference might be, between the time registered on any one clock and that registered on any another. And again, the clocks can be easily seen and the times that they display clearly read, by anyone positioned along the side of the tracks looking at the train as it passes, because what times these clocks display will be critical to our experiment.

We begin by having the train, serve the purpose for which any train was intended, that of moving over a pair of rails. Our train, with its three clocks set to the exact same time, begins by finding itself moving very, very, very, fast, along the straightest set of rail tracks that there could ever be, stretching over the flattest surface that there could ever be, the tracks eventually disappearing at the horizon, in either straight direction, across this perfectly flat and endless landscape of uninterrupted desolation that we are imagining. Moreover, the length of the journey is short enough

to ignore the curvature of the earth as affecting “straightness”. (Likewise, effects of gravity can be ignored.)

Now that we have imagined a very short moving train, with clocks evenly spaced along its length that can be seen by anyone positioned along the side of the tracks, with each different clock, set to precisely the exact same time (as the conductor’s watch), we now next imagine an observer, standing just next to the rails, just as the train passes by that point, who can see all three clocks. We ask: exactly what time is it on each clock now?

According to the aptly named, common and intuitive Theory of *Absolute and Universal*, Space and Time Measures (as described in the preceding section), the three clocks on the train should all show identical times. According to this, the common theory, the clocks would all read the same, irrespective of whether the train is moving, or not moving, because for it, time is absolute and so does not change with motion. Not knowing about relativity, this is what most would presume. But, this is exactly what a body of ideas that embodies scientific purpose, designated formally by the name, Theory of *Universally Relative* Space and Time Measures, says will definitely NOT happen. It predicts instead, **distinctly different times for each clock**, NOT the same. It predicts that time is actually NOT universally absolute, but relative.

To be perfectly clear, the Theory of *Universally Relative* Space and Time Measures says that, among the three, no clock will read the same time as either of the other two. Relativity says that, while the train is in motion, according to the measures of any ‘stationary’ perspective that is ‘not moving’, and if the exact time read on each clock is measured with adequate precision, then the three different clocks on the moving train always display three distinctively different times, even though this result

clearly contradicts any ordinary intuitive notions that we would probably have, as it is in direct contradiction to the Absolute and Universal Theory of Space and Time Measures, which predicts instead, that each clock will show an identical reading to the other, no differently from what would be the case if the train were stationary instead of moving. What this common and intuitive idea says *will* be true, relativity says *must* be and *will* be false instead, yet no experiment in the history of humankind has ever shown that relativity actually being otherwise – not a single, solitary one, anytime, ever – while countless experiments disprove absolute space and time.

This is a single, clear, easily obvious difference, between what the common and intuitive Theory of Absolute and Universal, Space and Time Measures (again, as describe in the preceding section) says, and what the Theory of Relative Space and Time Measures says instead. According to the common and intuitive Theory of Absolute and Universal, Space and Time Measures, time is absolute, so it passes no differently for anything moving from anything that is not moving, regardless of anything else whatsoever. But, the Theory of Relative Space and Time Measures says times *do* vary, physically, with motion. Yes, according to the Theory of Relativity, times do vary physically with motion, because they absolutely **MUST**. They absolutely must physically vary, *or the laws of physics would have to change with motion instead*. And again, the fact that moving clocks do vary has been rigorously demonstrated repeatedly (millions upon millions of times) for the past century with not a single failure once.

That is to say, that what relativity predicts will happen, will, in actual fact, flatly happen (as the Theory of Relativity has yet to ever be incorrect). In the case of a moving train, the three different clocks will read three different times, to anyone who is stationary, like the straight rails of the flat track are stationary, by virtue of '*not* moving', as the ground under

the tracks never seems to move either. This means that what the common and intuitive Theory of Absolute and Universal, Space and Time Measures predicts, that each clock will read the same, identical time\*, will *not* be true, and they will not. When tested, in actual, physical fact, it is the Theory of Relativity that proves true, and it is the common and intuitive model, the model that nearly everyone, everywhere outside of physical science is convinced is true, demonstrates itself not to be. Yes, to be very clear, the widespread, common and intuitive notions of time, space, and the universe as a whole, are, without question, erroneous, despite how many times the reader may hear the words, “the universe began\*\*,” spoken by those with presumed “authority,” without their explaining that such a statement does not mean ‘began *with respect to time*’, as ‘began’, without any further specification, subtly implies. Such authorities would best serve all by clarifying their meaning, so that they expose our common and intuitive notions for being what they truly are, FALSE, as relativity’s predictions show, and as any physical experiment so testing always faithfully reveals.

\* - Misunderstanding relativity, imagining time ‘slowing’ with motion would not explain each clock having a different time from each other clock.

\*\* - What “began” or any other word like it that is used in its place (a synonym, for example) means is this: the Big Bang is a beginning in

the identical sense that the end of a street can likewise be designated as the location ‘where’ the street “begins,” but in no way ‘when’.

## Part Four

# HOW CLOCKS ON A MOVING TRAIN DEMONSTRATE THAT WHAT TIME IT IS WHERE, IS RELATIVE TO MOTION

So, we now have a moving train, with three evenly spaced, *synchronous* clocks, but instead of showing the same time, as that is what synchronous means, concurrent, each shows a distinctly different moment in time instead. Again, relativity predicts this, and the common and intuitive Theory of Absolute and Universal, Space and Time as explained in the opening sections of the text would erroneously specify that this is what would *not* happen, time for it being absolutely invariant. But, besides this demonstrating the validity of what the Theory of Relativity says will happen over common, intuitive notions of spatial and temporal absoluteness (again, the Theory of Absolute and Universal, Space and Time Measures), what does each clock exhibiting a wholly different time mean, in real, physical terms? Understanding what simultaneous clocks exhibiting altogether different times means explains how relativity sees time and space. To do so, one must do only a single thing, which is to think (that is, think rationally, basing one's thought upon reason).

First and most significantly, synchronous clocks set to an identical simultaneous moment on the moving train showing different moments and thereby demonstrating the predictions of relativity being valid means, obviously, as stated, that the common and intuitive Theory of Absolute and Universal, Space and Time Measures, which predicts otherwise, is

categorically incorrect. But, it means further that any conclusions at all that are ever made that are based upon this erroneous body of ideas is likewise just as incorrect as it is. *Failing to recognize this critical fact will prevent grasping correctly or lead to wholly misunderstanding, just what it is that relativity truly says.*

Most noteworthy of this incorrect notion is the idea that the absolute time that this model includes was passing eternally and that during the course of its passage, the universe ‘happened’, as a uniquely “creative” phenomenon that *instead of creating new configurations of existing energy, created the energy itself from a state lacking any*. That is to say, that there was a unique moment, even if there was no other place because there was only the single place where the universe initiated the inception of all that was to follow, in which the state of affairs consisted of a total lack of energy, being instantaneously replaced by a state of affairs that included all the energy existent today, within a single, absolute set of measures for time and space applicable everywhere, physically distinguishing what moving is, from what being stationary is, irrespective altogether of any motion whatsoever. Most significantly, motion has no effect whatsoever on what time it is anywhere. But again, this is, of course, the erroneous and untrue model that relativity *replaces*. So this plainly incorrect picture of universal absoluteness is flatly not the way that nature really works and that the universe does not conform to a universal time, nor, as we shall see, that the universe does not conform to a universal space either. Both time and space measures physically change with motion (and, they do more than all simply slowing together, each slowing identically – this is exactly what does NOT happen).

According to this absolute model of unyielding certainty, the past always ceases to exist, while the future does not, lying perpetually in a

realm that is physically yet to exist. According to it, the present moment is all that does exist, reality extending nowhere beyond. Yet, as relativity's accurate prediction that the clocks will show distinctly different times while the common and intuitive theory of universal absoluteness erroneously predicts that they will not, confinement of existence merely to the present moment MUST be as false as the fallacious model (again, the common and intuitive Theory of Universal and Absolute, Space and Time Measures) specifying this simple, confining, and wholly erroneous picture of a logically impossible physical existence is. Absolute space and time measures do not to apply to nature. Such certainty is *demonstrably* wrong. As we shall see clocks on a moving train demonstrate, physical existence extends is not confined to the present moment, but spans ALL time (and all space).

According to relativity, physical existence is not confined to any unique, single perspective alone, the single one corresponding to our single, individual perspective of our world's space and time measures. Relativity says that physical existence extends beyond the present moment, into both the moments constituting the past and into the moments constituting the future, endlessly, in both directions of time. Synchronous clocks on a moving train registering non-synchronous times prove it. And here is how they do.

Each clock corresponds to an EXISTENT moment in time, irrespective of whether it is past, present, or future, with respect to any other moment. Grasping the physical meaning of this point is absolutely crucial to understanding relativity accurately. Failing to grasp the validity of this as a physical fact, or failing to genuinely understand its meaning or to truly accept it as actual physical fact, or to simply refuse to, means not just failing to understand relativity accurately, but rather, as actual intellectual

fact, failing to understand relativity at all, or even worst, being perhaps convinced that one nonetheless understands, however vaguely, where such understanding is conventionally labeled otherwise, or again, worst, where misunderstanding combines with a misplaced certainty that one truly possesses understanding, while retaining old ideas, now “justified.” Yes, that moment on the rail car, caboose, or engine, that registers three, distinctly different moments according to a single simultaneous moment for a stationary observer, are three moments of real, physical space, that physically exist as much as the single, simultaneous moment of a physical space for a stationary observer next to the rail tracks is physically existent, even though they are wholly different, distinct moments, each corresponding to a wholly different and distinct, physically existent space. Each moment, as a three-dimensional space (meaning an unbounded spatial volume), is a physically real moment of existence actually existing, existing every bit as much as we actually do, again, to be perfectly clear, as we do *physically*.

Reading different times on the faces of the clocks on a moving train reveals that existence is not limited to a single moment and no others, but spans all moments instead, all that there ever are, ever were and ever will ever, together. This is true because what is true for a short train is true for a train of *any* length. Given a train of *any* length, equipped with clocks on each rail car added, each clock would correspond to a different, yet nonetheless a *wholly* existent, momentary space, it can cross the entire universe, in *any* direction. And, this is true a moving train at *any* moment whatsoever, from the moment of the Big Bang until ANY other. Hence *the WHOLE, ENTIRE history of the universe, past and future, anywhere, is physically existent now*. Nothing could be more of a contradiction to our intuitive notions than that of past and future being



existent together, just as much as the present moment is, yet physically, both are every bit as existent as the now that we are presently experiencing, and the you finding itself within that moment, physically are.

According to the Theory of Relativity, it is only the whole of one's (our) uniquely individual future that is perpetually removed from an individual's ability to ever physically observe this individual future directly. Yet, again, based upon relativity's unquestionable demonstrable accuracy, this individually unique future is *wholly, physically existent*, despite one's absolute and inescapable physical removal from this individual future's direct observation, ever. Likewise, so is the individual past that corresponds to one's particular perspective that leads to this existent future just as physically existent as this future is. The distinctly different, non-synchronous times exhibited on the faces of the synchronous clocks openly demonstrates that both past and future physically exist just as much as the present moment we are currently experiencing physically does. Again, all moments, everywhere and anywhere, past, present, and future alike, are physically existent always, even though we can never find ourselves anywhere else ever but within the extent of a single moment alone. **It is a demonstrably true** and irrefutable, **physical fact**, as three clocks on a moving train reveal.


**Part Five (Optional)****HOW CLOCKS ON A MOVING TRAIN  
DEMONSTRATE THAT SPACE  
DISTANCES, LIKE WHAT TIME IT IS,  
ARE RELATIVE TO MOTION TOO**

Clocks on a moving train, besides demonstrating that the past and future exist as much as the present moment does and are therefore physically real, also demonstrates that the measure of spatial distances is no more absolute than the measure of time is. Clocks on a moving train demonstrate that the measure of spatial distances absolutely do change also, physically, as a consequence of motion. (And it should be noted that correspondingly, so do the angles that these distances define likewise change with motion.) Again, this true physical fact that is demonstrated by relativity is a clear and direct contradiction to the common and intuitive notions that most embrace (believe), like that of time being absolute (as the preceding section explained) instead of relative (which, as the preceding section explained, it unquestionably is). In the second section, we formally codified this common and intuitive set of ideas about time and space as the Theory of *Absolute and Universal, Space and Time Measures*. In the next section we put clocks on a moving train to illustrate the difference between the conclusions drawn by our common and intuitive ideas, and those drawn by relativity. In the next section, the third, preceding this, the conceptual difference between a common and intuitive three-dimensional model of space and time, and the more complex, four-dimensional model that characterizes relativity's description were explained. In this, the fourth and final section, we will see how time is "tied" to space in such a way that spatial distances change with motion

also, in correspondence with (as a consequence of) what time it is where, changing with motion. (For many, the revelations of the preceding chapter suffice the needs of the reader, which is why this section was cited as being optional; but, please note that rarely does harm to deepen and enrich one's understanding of nature by better understanding its formal description through science. And what's more, unless the reader has stopped to reread parts along the way, no more than an hour should have elapsed, yet within so few minutes, the reader has been able to acquire an accurate picture, however vague that picture might be, of how relativity structures space and time differently from how common intuition does. If the reader has understood, then they now possess a picture that should last for an entire lifetime.

No mention has yet been made as to exactly what different times appeared on the faces of the synchronous clocks on the moving train. This is because it does not matter for time. That each clock read a different time from every other is all that matters for demonstrating motion's effects upon time, in the simplest way. But, to see motion's effects upon spatial measures, *what time it is* on each clock *must* be considered, as follows. The clocks on the moving train correspond to three different moments in time, each of which, their selves, corresponds to an unbounded spatial volume corresponding to that particular moment. Lying in the pattern of a line on the train, the moments reflected in the times registered on the line of clocks has an order. The clock in the engine registers the earliest moment. The clock on the rail car behind it registers a later moment, and the clock in the caboose registers the latest time, later in time than either of the other two. Thus, we have three, evenly-spaced clocks in a row, each showing an earlier time than the clock behind it, along the direction

that this line of clocks is moving, with the motion of the speeding train that carries them, as it roars down the tracks, faster than a bullet.

Now, consider this. Each time corresponds to a particular point in the motion of the clock in the direction of the straight tracks. The later the time registering on a clock, the further along the direction of motion that clock has traveled. Therefore, a later clock is further ahead, and thus more advanced along the tracks, than a clock marking an earlier time. Because the clock on the engine is at an earlier time and the clock on the caboose at a later, a more advanced caboose is catching up with a less advanced engine, thereby shortening the train. Like time changing with respect to motion, space distances ‘shrink’ in the direction of motion, as time ‘stretches’ out, thereby guaranteeing that the *combined* measure of the two together, along with the laws of physics themselves, never change. But, it *requires* that past and future exist, which unambiguously contradicts time having ever begun or everything existent (all energy) being once created. Like all religious belief, each and every one of which, without exception, universally includes as absolute, fundamental foundation, both time having begun (temporally) and energy having been once\* created (so as to always ‘create’ a supreme ‘creator’ deserving worship, this being the absolute, fundamental, *justifying* foundation of every last religion on Earth, again without exception, and without which any religion would be baseless), each is a demonstrably irrefutable, as well as clearly dangerous, lie. 

\* - That is to say, ‘once’ for each new, individual “creation” of the “world,” as beliefs exist in which the universe is ‘recreated’ anew, repeatedly, in an unending perpetual series of distinct yet identical creation events. Nonetheless, each new world still universally begins anew and universally ends anew, albeit only *once*, with each new re-created world. Again, each erroneous idea, time beginning or energy being created, is a direct contradiction to demonstrable physical facts.

# OTHER EDUCATIONAL PUBLICATIONS BY CHONGO

...physics (in collaboration with José)

**NO ACCIDENT** (Formerly, *The Infinite Pattern*)

*How Quantum Theory Disproves Randomness*

A purely conceptual description of relativity and Quantum Theory

**THE INFINITE OCEAN**

*Relativity's Space and Time Without Math*

Einstein's Theory of Relativity in a purely conceptual description

**AN ETERNITY OF TOMORROWS**

*Everlasting Life & Quantum Theory*

**TOUCH OF THE OBSERVER**

*Life, the Multiverse & Immortality*

**CLOCKS ON A TRAIN** (This work)

...religion

**HONOUR THY FATHER**

*Foundations of Monotheism*

**THE CHRISTIAN STATE**

*2017 Victory Achieved Edition*

...philosophy (series)

**THE QUOTABLE CHONGO**

Terse expression of fact, folly, and wisdom in a collection of works on topics ranging from quantum theory to exploring how to be "bitchin."

**MONKEY IN THE MIRROR**

**DECISIONS OF NATURE THIS BOOK IS INTENDED TO OFFEND...**

...outdoor humor & wisdom

**LOVE AND HAT AT SEA**

*A Book about Wall Partners*

**COMPLETE BOOK OF BIG WALL CLIMBING**

The most comprehensive big wall climbing, instruction manual in existence on Earth

...big wall field guides

*Big Wall Leading Equipment*

*Advanced Big Wall Ropes & Rope Management*

*Advanced Big Wall Stations and Hauling*

*Big Wall Racking*

**SCIENCE  
TEACHING  
LEARNING  
EPISTEMOLOGY  
BIG WALL CLIMBING  
OUTDOOR ADVENTURE  
CONCEPTUAL PHYSICS  
HUMOR/SOCIAL COMMENTARY**

**visit:**

**chongonation.org**

**...where this content is available for free, on-line viewing,  
because the world needs a real education, and quickly!**